

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,941	03/27/2001	Fred Stacey	020510-10.00	2962
7:	590 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
Kris V. Kalidindi, Esq.			HAN, CLEMENCE S	
Potomac Patent				
2010 Corporate Ridge			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 700			2665	
McLean, VA	22102		DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	iN.	,	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/819,941	STACEY ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clemence Han	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED on 08/31/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		o final rejection, whichev	oriolator In no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F f).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further c They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ómpliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) will not be entered, or b) vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appoars over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant f See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered to See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s13. Other:). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	· No(s)	3

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to page 3-5 of Remark, the body of claim does not recite said plurality of data streams in a digital subscriber line system. The DSL was interpreted as preferable operating environment for the following inventive steps. In response to page 5-6, Jaffe teaches possible scenarios for the discrepancy of the rate of transferred data and the rate of processing the data (Column 7 Line 24-59). In response to page 6-7, "making the processing rate dependent on the arrival rate of the input data" is not a part of the claim language. In response to page 7-8, the motivation to use a circular buffer is given in Fleming (Column 2 Line 10-12). In response to page 8-9, see the response to page 3-5.